<u>Item</u>	First Name	<u>Last Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	Department/School	<u>Attended</u>	Cancelled/ Apologies
1	Namestnikova	Inna	Dr	ASK/ Library	а	
2	Greenhow	Martin	Dr	Information Systems Computing and Maths	а	
3	Stenbeck	Gudrun	Dr	Health Sciences and Social Care	а	
4	Gregory	Christine	Miss	Information Systems Computing and Maths	n	
5	Mezali	Hakim	Mr	Information Systems Computing and Maths	а	
6	Ferri	Carlo	Dr	School of Engineering and Design	а	
7	Khan	Akram	Prof	School of Sport and Education	а	
8	Guest				а	
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
				Booked	<u>7</u>	<u>0</u>

Key

b- booked

a- attended

x- attended but did not book via booking form.

c- cancelled with apologies

n- cancelled no apologies

Evaluation Data Advanced Academic Practice Workshops Spring Term 2009/2010

	Teaching Mathematics to Non-Mathematicians 17/01/2011					
Section 1 (tick box)	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Poor</u>		
The workshop, as a whole, was	VG	G	Av	р		
Response No.						
R1	vg					
R2		g				
R3	vg					
R4	vg					
R5		g				

Ratings

Section 3	Please click on Questionnaire tab for details of questions.					_
Question No.	1	2	3	4	5	
Response No.	Rating					Signed
R1	4	4	4	4	4	у
R2	3	3	3	3	3	n
R3	4	4	4	4	4	у
R4	4	4	4	4	4	у
R5	3	3	3	3	3	у
Sum of Ratings	15	15	15	15	15	3
acutal attendance Possible score	28	28	28	28	28	

53.57

Counts

53.57

53.57

53.57

	Section 1
Very Good (vg)	3
Good (g)	2
Average (av)	0
Poor (p)	0

53.57

Percentage rate %

	Section 3				
Rating	1	2	3	4	5
4	3	3	3	3	3
3	2	2	2	2	2
2	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0

Please note number of responses may differ to acutal attendance figures

<u>Keγ:</u>					
n/a - no comment made					
Eval Sheet- Evaluation Sheet					
y- yes					
n- no					
R- Evaluation sheet No.					
Signed	3				
Not Signed	1				
Acutal Attendance	7				

Course Evaluation Sheet - Topics

Rating Scale

Section 1 (tick box)	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor		
The workshop, as a whole, was						
Section 2 (for participants comments)						
The best things about the event were	Participant comment required					
Section 3		Rating Scale				
This Workshop	<u>Agree</u>			<u>Disagree</u>		
Was relevant to my needs	4	3	2	1		
Enhanced my understanding	4	3	2	1		
Introduced interestingly	4	3	2	1		
Maintained my interest	4	3	2	1		
Was a good use of my time	4	3	2	1		

Section 4

- 4. Which aspect(s) of the content and presentations did you find most useful?
- 5. Which aspects of the event or content could have been altered or improved?
- 6. Were there any aspects of this topic omitted that you would have liked to have been covered?
- 7. Are there any topics or concerns that you would like to see addressed in future Advanced Academic Practice Programme workshops / discussion groups?
- 8. Are there any topics on which you would like further information or briefing?

Section 5

- 9. Brunel University employs, across the campus, staff who have expertise and skills in a wide range of topics. Do you have an area of expertise that you could contribute to the rich diversity of activities, talks and workshops within our community?
- I have the following expertise and would be interested in discussing how that could be incorporated into the Brunel programme of events:
- 10. Any other comments?